

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

112

CWP No.17272 of 2022
Date of Decision: 29.10.2022

Rohtas Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Pathak, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

Even on second call there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel who has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, as a copy of the petition was supplied to him in advance, submits that this petition is mis-conceived and not maintainable before this Court. The appropriate forum to seek redressal of the grievance raised in this petition would be before the Armed Forces Tribunal.

Be that as it may, as no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner and same was the position on the earlier date, no one has pressed this petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

October 29, 2022
Kapil

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No