

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20551-2014

Date of decision: March 10, 2022

Sarita Devi

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- None for the petitioner.
(Presence marked through Video-Conference).

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Additional AG Haryana.

Mr. Pardeep Singh Poonia, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr. Vikas Pal Singh, Advocate for respondent No.5.

ARUN MONGA, J (ORAL)

CM 4247-CWP-2020

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Letter dated 23.06.2014 (Annexure A-1) is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case (O&M)

Court is being held through physical mode today. However, learned counsel for the petitioner had requested to hear this Court through Video-Conference. When matter is called out, none joins proceedings on behalf of the petitioner through Video-Conference. While learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 tendered a copy of appointment letter dated 07.12.2021 in course of hearing, which is taken on record and marked as annexure 'A'. He

submits that in view of the appointment letter *ibid*, petition is rendered infructuous as the claim of the petitioner has already been accepted.

Accordingly, petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to revive proceedings in case appointment has not been offered.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

March 10, 2022

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No