

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

127

CRM-M-35230-2022

Date of Decision:09.08.2022

HARI RAM

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Shish Pal Laler, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Mr. Shubham Saroha, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed his Memorandum of Appearance in Court, which is taken on record.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.29 dated 06.11.2021 under Sections 376, 452 and 506 of IPC, 1860, registered at Women Police Station, District Kurukshetra, Annexure P-1, alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 29.07.2022, Annexure P-2.

It has been submitted by the State counsel that despite the fact that a petition seeking grant of anticipatory bail (CRM-M-51097-2021) preferred by the petitioner was rejected by this Court on 21.12.2021, the petitioner has not surrendered and proceedings have been initiated against him under Section 82 of Cr.P.C.

Heard.

Petitioner is accused of sexually assaulting the complainant with whom a so called compromise, Annexure P-2, has been arrived at. In view of the judgments of the Supreme Court in **State of Madhya Pradesh versus Laxmi Narayan and others (2019) 5 SCC 688**, and **Daxaben Versus State of Gujarat 2022 SCC Online SC 936**, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer made.

In view of the above, there is no merit in the petition, which is hereby dismissed.

It is clarified that nothing said hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

09.08.2022
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No