

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-4294-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.10.2022

BRISH BHAN

.. Petitioner

Vs.

SUNITA RANI

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved against the order dated 06.10.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Samana in Civil Suit No.10/2014, whereby his application for making good the deficiency of stamps affixed upon agreement to sell dated 25.12.2010 was dismissed.

Learned counsel submits that the instrument relied upon by the petitioner (plaintiff) in a suit for specific performance of agreement to sell is a photocopy, therefore, the Court rejected his application under Section 35 Indian Stamp Act, as the original instrument was never produced.

Learned counsel further states that he has instructions to withdraw this petition, as an attempt is being made to settle the dispute by way of compromise.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

11.10.2022

Jasmine Kaur

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes No

Whether reportable

Yes No