

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34491 of 2022
Date of Decision:- 02.12.2022**

Sonia

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Munish Dev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

Prayer is for grant for anticipatory bail to the petitioner
in case having FIR No.101 dated 29.05.2022 under Sections 420,
120-B IPC, at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar (Rural).

The petitioner was granted interim bail on the last date of
hearing and the said order reads as follows:-

*Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case
having FIR No.101 dated 29.5.2022 registered under
Sections 420, 120-B IPC at Police Station Beas, District
Amritsar (Rural).*

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a labour contractor and is permanent resident of Chandigarh. The counsel for the petitioner further contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as she was having dispute with labour union, Tarn Taran and when the members of the said labour union threatened her, she approached this Court seeking protection of her life and liberty and appropriate order (Annexure P-4) was passed by this Court dated 23.12.2020. The counsel for the petitioner, submits that petitioner who is having no criminal history is ready to join investigation with the police

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab and on instructions from ASI Shamsheer Singh submits that the FIR in this case was registered after the matter was inquired by DSP, Baba Bakala. The State counsel further submits that there are specific allegations against the petitioner and her husband that they took `4 lac from the complainant on the pretext of providing job to his son in Indian Army or Police Department.

The petitioner is a lady aged about 40 years and is having no criminal antecedents, in case of arrest, the petitioner is directed to be released on interim bail by the

Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to his own satisfaction. The petitioner should join investigation with the police well in time before the next date of hearing and to abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The report of the Investigating Officer be also called in this context on the next date of hearing.

Now be listed on 2.12.2022.”

The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation with the police by virtue of order of interim bail dated 05.08.2022 passed by this Court.

The State counsel on instructions from ASI Surinder Pal Singh has not disputed the aforesaid fact and further submits that the petitioner is not required by the police for further investigation or custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and order granting interim bail 05.08.2022 to the petitioner is hereby made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

02.12.2022
P. Chawla

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No