

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(107)

CWP No. 18601 of 2021

Date of Decision : 22.08.2022

Raghubir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Handa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order of recovery has been imposed as a matter of punishment hence, the said order is appealable and, therefore, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy of appeal against the impugned order.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, keeping in view the advance copy furnished, submits that in case any appeal is filed by the petitioner within a period of one month from today, the same will be considered on merits and will not be disposed of as time barred.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view

the above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

August 22, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No