

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

CRM-M-33229-2020

Date of Decision:29.08.2022

Daljit Lal Seth

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhishek Bansal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the accused by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala vide orders dated 24.08.2020 (Annexures P-7 & P-8), on the grounds that the FIR has been registered after grant of bail which is in violation of the conditions imposed under Section 439(2) CrPC, the complainant (petitioner herein) has come up before this Court.

Perusal of the impugned bail order dated 21.07.2020 (P-2), nowhere reveals that the Court had specifically imposed any condition that if the FIR has been registered then the present bail shall be cancelled.

Given above, in the entirety of facts and circumstances of the case and without going into the controversy, the impugned orders dated 24.08.2020 (Annexures P-7 & P-8), are modified by imposing further

condition that within ten days, respondents No.2 & 3 shall mention details of all assets, held either individually or jointly, including bank balances, fixed deposits, DEMAT Accounts, to the Investigator and its copy to the complainant/victim(s).

Petition is disposed of in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

29.08.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No