

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38084-2021
Date of decision : 22.11.2022

Harjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.139 dated 15.03.2021 registered under Section 174-A IPC at Police Station Hisar City, District Hisar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefro.

On 14.09.2021, a coordinate Bench of this court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall seek necessary instructions as regards the compromise, if any and in case, any amicable settlement is possible he shall get a draft favouring the complainant on the next date.

In view of the aforestated submission, the matter is adjourned to 12.10.2021.

14.09.2021

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE”

Thereafter, on 12.10.2021 and 18.01.2022, the case was

adjourned on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner. On 20.04.2022, another coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“On 14th September, 2021, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to have instructions as to if there is possibility of compromise and if so to bring a draft favouring the complainant.

Thereafter on the next two dates, adjournment was sought by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Today again, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner inspite of two pass overs.

List on 19th October, 2022.

It is clarified that pendency of the present petition shall not be construed as any sorts of interim protection to the petitioner.

Let State counsel file a status report with regard to effective steps taken against the petitioner after registering the FIR No. 139, dated 15th March, 2021, under Section 174A of IPC.

20th April, 2022 (AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE”

On 19.10.2022, no one had appeared and even on 07.11.2022 no one had appeared and today the matter has been called twice. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. The FIR in the present case has been registered in pursuance of the order dated 31.08.2019 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class in the proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 vide which the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person in the said proceedings and the SHO concerned was directed to register an FIR under Section 174-A IPC.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances and also the fact that no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner so as to assist the Court with respect to the questions which were sought to be raised

to the petitioner to file a fresh petition, if any cause survive after getting the instructions as ordered by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 20.04.2022.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 22, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No