

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13184-2018(PIL)(O&M)

Date of decision: 02.05.2022

Pardhuman Garg, Advocate

... Petitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Administration, U.T Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh and
others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mrs. Madhu Dayal, Additional Standing Counsel, U.T.
Chandigarh.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner praying for
the following reliefs:

**“I. A writ of Mandamus be issued for directing the
respondents to implement The Haryana Prevention of
Beggary Act, 1971 in letter and spirit so that inhuman
act of child begging could be eradicated.**

**II. A writ of Mandamus be issued for directing the
respondents to ensure that the Child Labour
(Prohibition and Regulation) Act 1986 be
implemented in letter and spirit so that children
below 14 years are not made to work.**

**III. A writ of Mandamus be issued for directing the
respondents to ensure that the children who have
been identified to be working under the age of 14 are
not victimized rather they should be provided with**

free education Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education (RTE) Act, 2009.

IV. A writ of Mandamus be issued for directing the respondents to take suitable steps for the rehabilitation of all children engaged in prohibited employment and Child Begging.”

The respondent-Chandigarh Administration has filed a return stating that they have taken effective steps to implement the provisions of the Haryana Prevention of Beggary Act, 1971 and the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986. They have also stated that the Acts themselves create a statutory mechanism to deal with the instances of violation on any complaint being filed before the authorities concerned. The respondent in return has also filed a detailed status report indicating the steps taken by them for enforcing the provisions of the Haryana Prevention of Beggary Act, 1971 and the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986. From a perusal of the report, we find that no further action is required to be taken. The petition filed by the petitioner is, accordingly, disposed of taking the stand and status report placed by the UT on record with a further observation that in case the petitioner or any other person feels that any provisions of law has been violated, they would be at liberty to approach the competent authority in accordance with law bringing it to the notice.

Mrs. Madhu Dayal, learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the Union Territory, Chandigarh submits that in case any such complaint is received by the authorities concerned, they would deal it in accordance with law expeditiously.

**(Ravi Shanker Jha)
Chief Justice**

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

02.05.2022
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO