

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

CWP-17117-2022

Date of decision: 12.10.2022

DHARMANDER

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondents to submit the status report with respect to the complaint filed by the petitioner (Annexure P-1) with respondent No.2 on 13.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) as mandated by the “Haryana Right to Service Act, 2014” and directions of Hon'ble Apex Court in “*Lalita Kumari vs Govt. of U.P. & Others.*”

Short reply by way of an affidavit of Rajender Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, City, Gurugram has been filed on behalf of respondent No.5 and the same is taken on record. A copy of the said short reply has been handed over to the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

A separate Status report by way of an affidavit of Satyander Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Palwal on behalf of respondent No.6 has been filed wherein it has been stated that the parties have got the matter compromised and the complaints in question have been proposed to be filed.

The statement of the parties are also appended alongwith aforesaid response. The relevant extract of the status report by way of an affidavit is reproduced hereinafter below:

*“2. That the deponent has inquired the complaint bearing no. CMOFF/N/2022/0737742 dated 29.06.2022 received from the CM Window which was filed by the complainant namely Neetu Singh wife of Veerpal R/o Shamsabaad Palwal, Haryana at present residing at Krishna Colony near Jeevan Jyoti School District Palwal. During the course of inquiry both the parties joined the inquiry and got recorded their statement, out of which complainant Neetu Singh stated that he was doing job work of garments with Dharmender @ Raju S/o Shiv Raj R/o Village Taj Nagar Gurugram and Rs.4,15,638/- were due upon him and out of which Rs.1,50,000/- he had given and Rs.2,65,638 were balanced and regarding them they discussed that as well as respondent will get the payment, then he will give the same to the complainant. Both the parties got compromised the matter, hence complaint was proposed to be filed. Report is submitted Sd/- and the same was true translation of the Neetu Singh wife of Veerpal R/o Rajiv Nagar, Krishna Colony and Dharmender Son of Shivraj R/o Village Taj Nagar District Gurugram and the inquiry report are annexed herewith as **Annexure R-1 to R-3.**”*

In view of the above, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage.

However, in the event of aforesaid averment is found to be incorrect, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the present petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 12, 2022

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No