

CRM-M-33874-2022
Date of decision : 06.09.2022

Versus

AMANDEEP GOSAIN
2022.09.09 15:23
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

As a matter of fact the Registry has put up alongwith this case the orders passed by a co-ordinate bench of this court on different dates in four such petitions filed by the aforesaid four co-accused.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice at the asking of the court on behalf of the respondent State.

Upon query to learned State counsel as to whether the petitioner was any differently placed to those who have been admitted to interim bail by this court, he very fairly submits that as regards that aspect he cannot deny the same but otherwise he submits that the petitioner does not deserve to be admitted to bail in view of the fact that he was named by the Granthi of the Gurudwara of the village.

Having considered the matter at this stage, looking at the fact that identically placed co-accused have been admitted to bail by this court, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within one week and if, upon him so joining, he is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on interim bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Duty Magistrate, till the next date of hearing.

He shall also comply with all conditions stipulated in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

If the arresting officer does not join the petitioner in investigation, he would appear before the learned Ilaqa Magistrate immediately, who would then summon the arresting officer and direct him to join the petitioner in investigation, in terms of the order of this court.

Adjourned to 06.09.2022.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-27700-2022.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 03, 2022
dharamvir ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurdeep Singh has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 03.08.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 03.08.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 06, 2022
Amandeep

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No