

COCp-1524-2022

Date of Decision : 06.09.2022

Jaswinder Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Dhirendera Kumar Tiwari, IAS and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 08.02.2022, in CWP-2242-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 08.02.2022, reveals that CWP-2242-2022, was disposed of by directing respondent No.4 herein to take an appropriate decision on pending representation/legal notice filed by the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioner was found entitled to any amount, to pay the same to the petitioner within one month thereafter and in case the same was not paid within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum for the delayed payment till final realization of the amount.

3. Learned AAG, Punjab, has filed compliance report by way of affidavit of respondent No.4. Learned AAG, Punjab, states that in compliance of order Annexure P/1, claim of the petitioner was considered and payment as per entitlement determined in paragraph No.2 of the affidavit, made to the petitioner. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 17.08.2022, which was handed over to him on the last date of hearing, in accordance with law to the extent the same is adverse to the interest of the petitioner by way of appropriate proceedings.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.09.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No