

NITI KUMARI AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

v/s

VINAY KUMAR

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present revision has been preferred against the order dated 16.05.2019 vide which the interim maintenance has not been awarded in favour of the petitioners.

Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent and contends that the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. has been finally disposed of by the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Hoshiarpur in terms of the order dated 12.03.2022 and consequently, the petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

As none has joined the proceedings on behalf of the petitioners, the petitioners shall be at liberty to seek revival of the petition within two months in the event, the assertions as projected hereinabove are found to be factually incorrect.

05.07.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether reportable : Yes/No*