

CWP-16885-2022

Date of Decision: 03.08.2022

Ramesh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Chetan Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that respondent No. 7, the then Sarpanch had leased out land of the cremation ground to respondent No. 8 illegally. Complaints have been made on more than one occasion but sham enquiries have been conducted resulting in exoneration of respondents No. 7 and 8. Thus, the various enquiry reports Annexures P-5, P-9, P-21 and P-22 deserve to be quashed and a direction deserves to be issued to respondent No. 2 to take appropriate action against respondents No. 7 and 8.

A perusal of the enquiry reports show that the petitioner has been associated with all the enquiries and findings have been returned after consideration of evidence produced on record by both sides. Thus, the enquiries can not be said to be illegal. Respondent No. 7 is no longer the Sarpanch and respondent No. 8 is a private person. Thus, respondent No. 2 can not take any action against them. No specific action has also been suggested by learned counsel for the petitioner.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

03.08.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE