

SUNIL KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

VIRAT, HCS, SECRETARY HSSC

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Jagdeep Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 02.05.2022 in CWP No.9066 of 2022 in case titled as Sunil Kumar vs. State of Haryana and another.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.9066 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the competent authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner in the light of representation dated 12.04.2022 as well contentions raised in CWP No.9066 of 2022 and take a decision in respect thereto in accordance with law and convey the same to the petitioner within thirty days from 02.05.2022.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondent has rejected the claim of the petitioner vide order dated 17.08.2022, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for liberty to challenge order dated 17.08.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

31.08.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No