

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-33833-2022

Date of Decision:02.08.2022

AMARDEEP KUMAR ALIAS AMANDEEP KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

NAVDEEP KAUR

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 407 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short “the Code”) seeking transfer of petition filed under Section 125 of the Code filed by the respondent.

Heard counsel for the petitioner.

Sole ground seeking transfer of the petition from Jalandhar to SBS Nagar is that the petitioner has a minor child to take care of. The distance between the two places is 60 kilometers. Plea taken by the petitioner is not justified. In any case, in matrimonial matters, it is the convenience of the wife, which has to be seen as has been held by the Supreme Court in **N.C.V. Aishwarya Versus A.S. Saravana Karthik Sha, Law Finder DOC #2016139**.

Petition is dismissed.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a possibility of a settlement between the parties. Liberty is granted to the counsel to make this request before the Court below.

02.08.2022

sheetal

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No