

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-8584-2022 in/and
CRM-M-32177-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.03.2022

Hawa Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. G.S. Sawhney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gagandeep Toni, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-8584-2022

This is an application filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for preponement of the date of hearing in the main case which is now stated to be listed for 26.04.2022 to an early date.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner has submitted that the present matter has been compromised and the statements of the parties have already been recorded.

Notice in the application.

On advance notice, Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana,

appears and accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/State of Haryana and Mr. Gagandeep Toni, Advocate appears on behalf of non-applicant/respondent No.2 and have submitted that they have no objection in case the present application is allowed and the date of hearing in the main case is preponed from 26.04.2022 to today itself for final disposal.

In view of the aforesaid facts and no objection from the opposite side, the present application is allowed and the date of hearing in the main case is preponed from 26.04.2022 to today and the same is taken on Board today itself for final disposal.

Main case

This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.324 dated 17.09.2019 registered under Sections 120-B, 406, 408, 417, 418, 420, 465, 468 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Line Bhiwani, District Bhiwani (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

On 16.02.2021, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for quashing of FIR No.0324 dated 17.09.2019 registered under Sections 120-B, 406, 408, 417, 418, 420, 465 and 468 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station, Civil Line Bhiwani, District Bhiwani and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 31.08.2020.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 has admitted the factum of compromise.

Accordingly, the private parties are directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 28.02.2021 or any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise/settlement. Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to submit a report before the next date of hearing- 22.04.2021 containing the following information:-

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused in FIR.*
- 2. Whether any accused is proclaimed offender.*
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.*
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other case or not.*
- 5. Current stage of the case.*

The trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is also directed to send the report in time so as to reach this Court before the date of hearing fixed.

Reply by respondent No.1-State be filed on the date of hearing fixed, if so desired.

16.02.2021

Sd/-(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)

JUDGE ”

In pursuance of the abovesaid order, a report has been submitted by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.)-cum-JMIC, Bhiwani. The relevant portion of the said report is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“R/Sir,

It is humbly submitted that as per the order of Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High court in the abovestated Criminal Misc. no. 32177 of 2020, accused Hawa Singh s/o Sh. Kurda Ram and complainant Sh. Deepak Sharma, Asst. Legal

Manager, Magma Fincorp. Ltd appeared before the Trial Court. The separate statement of complainant as well as accused Hawa Singh was recorded. I have also inquired about the compromise between the parties. After hearing both the parties. this Court is of the view that the compromise between the parties is genuine without fear or pressure and they have amicably settled their dispute. No case is pending between the parties. This is for your kind information and further necessary action.

Submitted please.

Yours faithfully

Sd/- (Harsh Kumar Singh)

Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.)-cum-

JMIC, Bhiwani”

A perusal of the said report would show that the compromise has been found to be genuine, without any pressure or undue influence. It has been stated that the statements of the complainant as well as the accused have been recorded in the case and both have stated that the matter has been compromised and they have no objection in case the FIR is quashed. It is further stated that the statement of the complainant has been made voluntarily without any fear, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was not declared proclaimed offender in the present case and there are no other cases pending against the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State, as per instructions has stated that these facts are correct.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has again reiterated that the matter has been settled and the said compromise is in the interest of all the persons and would help in bringing out peace and amity between the

two parties.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file. After perusing the report submitted by the trial Court, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and the complainant. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in “**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab**”, reported as **2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble the Apex Court in the case of “**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**”, reported as **2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543**, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different

from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court. XXX---XXX”

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, the petition is allowed and FIR No.324 dated 17.09.2019 registered under Sections 120-B, 406, 408, 417, 418, 420, 465, 468 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Line Bhiwani, District Bhiwani (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise, are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioner.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid judgment.

17.03.2022

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No