

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRWP-7386-2022

Date of Decision:03.08.2022

M/s A.B.C. and company through its Proprietor

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notice served upon the official respondents through the State counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contented and satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (P-2) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to decide the representation dated 26.07.2022 (P-2) by passing a speaking and reasoned order within ***ten working days*** from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioners still exists, liberty is reserved to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

03.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No