

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

239

**CRM-M-37284-2021
Decided on : 23.02.2022**

Mandeep Singh and others

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 368, dated 13.10.2018, under Sections 498-A, 34 of IPC(Sections 307, 328 of IPC have been deleted), registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa (annexed as Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 05.01.2021 (annexed as Annexure P-2), arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequent to the registration of the FIR in question, which emanates from a matrimonial dispute between the parties, the parties have ironed out their differences and respondent No.2 i.e. complainant-wife has returned to her matrimonial home where she is now residing happily with petitioner No.1-husband. In support, learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the compromise dated 05.01.2021 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 14th December, 2021, of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on

20th January, 2022, to get their statements recorded, regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned JMIC, Ellenabad, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned learned JMIC, Ellenabad, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and in *Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2014(2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 482*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 23, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*