

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-3037-2022

Date of decision : 02.08.2022

Naveen Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Parveen Kataria and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jangvir S.Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This revision petition has been filed under Article 227 Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 21.07.2022 (Annexure P-2), whereby Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurgaon declined the petitioner's prayer seeking ad-interim injunction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that along with the suit the plaintiff had also filed an application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 Code of Civil Procedure and while issuing notice to the defendants, no interim stay was granted to him. He submits that the petitioner is aggrieved against the said order, only to the extent whereby his prayer for interim relief was not entertained and the trial Court granted time to the defendants to file their written statement.

Heard.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the suit was filed recently on 21.07.2022 and the application

filed by him under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC is yet to be adjudicated upon on merits.

Thus, at this stage, this Court is not inclined to exercise the superintendence powers under Article 227 Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

02.08.2022
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No