

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(215)

**CWP No. 12097 of 2018
Date of Decision : 29.11.2022**

Suksham Lata

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Ms. Ramneek Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Khungar, Advocate for respondent No. 7.

Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate for
Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate for respondent No. 9.

Mr. Tarun Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 10.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that though the present petition has been filed seeking promotion of the petitioner to the post of Home Science Mistress but, as per the reply filed by the respondent-State, an affidavit of the petitioner dated 15.07.2019 has been attached, wherein, it has been mentioned that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has not contacted the counsel so far and hence, the said averment could not be rebutted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view para 5 of the short reply filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case, the averment made in para 5 of the short reply is not correct.

Ordered accordingly.

November 29, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No