

Kesar Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Ghanshyam Thori, IAS

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 19.12.2018, in CWP-33496-2018.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 19.12.2018, reveals that CWP-33496-2018, was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide legal notice dated 13.08.2018, within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if on consideration, the competent authority arrived at the conclusion that the benefit claimed was admissible to the petitioner, consequential benefits were to be allowed to the petitioner within six weeks thereafter.

3. Reply has been filed by the learned Addl. AG, Punjab, along with Annexure R/1 to R/4. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of Annexure R/4 i.e. demarcation having been conducted by the Kanugo on 02.10.2019 and possession of the land having been handed over to the Gram Panchayat after recovering the same from the illegal occupants, the petitioner does not press the instant

4. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.
5. Rule discharged.

07.04.2022
rajesh

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No