

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21395-2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 11, 2022

Ram Chander and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. M.K. Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing letters/ orders (Annexure P/9-Collectively) passed by respondent No.4 rejecting the petitioners' claim for regularization.

2. Claim is opposed on the ground that petitioners are not even in service and therefore the petition is liable to be dismissed. It transpires that the petitioners' services were initially engaged though on daily basis for Aravali Project, at Mahendergarh. Later, the said project was wound up sometime in the year 1999. It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners, that petitioners' services have been still continued thereafter in another project i.e. Haryana Community Forest Project. Though learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Tarun Kumar, Deputy Superintendent, submits that only petitioner No.8 is working.

3. Be that as it may, petition is disposed of, with the expectation from the respondents that in case services of the petitioners are required for

the project called Haryana Community Forest Project, same shall not be dispensed with without following due procedure in law. Needless to say that aforesaid observations are subject to the verification by the official respondents *qua* the claim of the learned counsel for the petitioners that they are in service as on today.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 11, 2022
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No