

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

228

CWP-11761-2018 (O & M)

Date of Decision: November 09, 2022

RAJESH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Harkirat Singh Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate for respondents No.3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was absent from duty and had been imposed the punishment of stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect. The period of absence was treated as leave of the kind due. He further submits that the petitioner had not been paid the monetary benefits even after treating the period as leave of the kind due. The petitioner who was in service for more than 25 years, had accumulated substantial earned leave. He further submits that the petitioner shall be filing a representation to the respondents in that regard and respondents be directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 that in the event of the petitioner preferring a representation, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law within a period of 15 days from the date of its receipt.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 09, 2022

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No