

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33653 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02nd September, 2022

Jang Bahadur Kaushal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

CRM No.27190 of 2022

Heard.

Keeping in view the reasons as mentioned in this application, the same is allowed.

CRM-M No.33653 of 2022

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner seeks the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of the direction to respondent No.2 to decide his representation (Annexure P-7).

Mr. Arun Gupta, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and on the instructions from ASI Bhan Singh from Police Station Shambu, Rajpura, District Patiala, he apprises the Court that as reported by

the Inspector/SHO Gurmeet Singh from the above-said Police Station, the petitioner was contacted several times on his mobile phone and the police officials had visited his house in connection with his afore-said representation but he (petitioner) was not available. Learned State counsel has also submitted the photostat copy of the above-said report, in the Court today and the same has been placed on the file.

From the afore-discussed facts and circumstances, it is explicit that the petitioner himself has not done the needful on his part for the decision of his said representation by the concerned police authorities and it being so, no cause of action has arisen in his favour to file this petition. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed accordingly.

02.09.2022
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>