

220 2nd case

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-11635-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 2, 2022

Harsh Bhardwaj and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate,
For the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have *inter alia* prayed for quashing the letter dated 02.02.2018 (Annexure P-11) issued by respondent No.4 addressed to respondent No.3 directing that diploma and degree in Civil Engineering issued by the Institute of Civil Engineers (India), Ludhiana is not a valid qualification for recruitment/promotion to the post of SDO (Civil) in degree holder category in the State of Haryana. Notwithstanding, that AICTE in its meeting dated 03.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) had recognized equivalence for all purposes including higher education and employment to technical courses conducted by various professional bodies/institutions like the Institute of Civil Engineers (India), Ludhiana. Further prayer is to promote the petitioners to the post of SDO (Civil) w.e.f. 28.03.2018 (Annexure P-18) i.e. the date when the petitioners were ignored by the DPC and promotion orders were issued with all consequential benefits.

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that during pendency of the writ petition, claim of the petitioners qua promotion to the post of SDO (Civil) has been accorded on the administrative side.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, writ petition is rendered infructuous to that extent. Qua their other grievances, petitioners are at liberty to approach the competent authority, who shall look into the same, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 2, 2022
vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No