

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.461 of 2007 (O&M)
Date of Decision :09.09.2022**

Kuldip Verma

.....Appellant

Versus

Hardev Singh through his LRs

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Manvinder Singh Dalal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. S.S.Momi, Advocate for
Mr. Anuj Raura, Advocate for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that pursuant to order dated 18.05.2017, passed by this Court, the necessary affidavit of appellant-defendant was filed, vide CM-12483-C of 2017, and he had undertaken to vacate the demised premises within 6 months i.e. 01.12.2017.

Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that in terms thereof, the appellant has since vacated the disputed shop and actual physical possession thereof has since been handed over to the respondent(s)-plaintiff and, therefore, nothing substantive survives in this appeal and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

The factual position is not disputed by learned counsel appearing for the respondent.

Accordingly, the appeal stands dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

All the pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands infructuous.

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

09.09.2022

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No