

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33542-2022

Decided on: 16.11.2022

Mandeep Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Abhishek Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Kathuria, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Abhishek Kaushik, Advocate has appeared on behalf of Mr. B.S. Kathuria, learned counsel for the petitioners and has submitted that the arguing counsel is not available today and has prayed for an adjournment.

On the last date of hearing i.e. on 01.08.2022 as well, a request was made on behalf of the petitioners, and therefore, adjournment was granted and it was adjourned for today. Today again, a request has been made for adjournment.

However, at this stage, Mr. Amit Rana, learned Sr. DAG, Punjab has stated on instructions from ASI Satnam Singh, who is present in Court that in the present case, the prayer is for conducting fair and impartial inquiry in Complaint No.3146 P2/07/2022 filed by the respondent No.5 against the petitioners. He further submitted that it is a case where the petitioner No.1 and

respondent No.5 were living together in a live-in-relationship and thereafter, it appears that some dispute arose between them and on the basis of a complaint, proceedings under Sections 107 & 151 Cr.P.C. were initiated vide DDR No.20 dated 31/2022 against Mandeep Singh.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner No.1 had filed a representation (Annexure P-5) while alleging that respondent No.5, who was in live-in-relationship with him is threatening him and blackmailing him. A perusal of Annexure P-5 which is purported to be dated 21.07.2022 would show that there is nothing mentioned in the aforesaid Annexure P-5 as to by what mode and what method such a complaint was given nor any diary number or any registration number has been attached with the petition. Rather on the other hand, the learned State counsel has stated that respondent No.5 had given a complaint on the basis of which proceedings under Section 107 & 151 Cr.P.C. were initiated.

Therefore, in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the view that the present petition is devoid of merit and the same is hereby dismissed.

16.11.2022*Bhumika***(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |