

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

**CR-1839-2021
Date of Order: 29.04.2022**

Ram Kumar

..Petitioner

Versus

M/s Ranjit Singh & Sons

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate, for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The defendant assails the correctness of interlocutory order passed by the trial Court on 03.03.2020, while dismissing an application under Section 10 CPC for stay of the proceedings in a subsequent suit.

The petitioner has filed a suit for rendition of accounts. The respondent has filed a suit for recovery of Rs.2,28,704.83/-. The respondent is a commission agent, whereas the petitioner is a farmer.

The trial Court has dismissed the application filed under Section 10 CPC on the ground that subject matter of the suit is different. From perusal of the pleadings, in both the suits, it is evident that the suit is relating to same series of transactions between the petitioner and the respondent.

The learned counsel representing the respondent submits that he has no objection, if both the suits are clubbed together.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the learned District & Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, is requested to direct hearing of both the suits together by one senior most Judicial Officer.

With these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

April 29, 2022

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No