

260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34002-2022

DECIDED ON: 7th SEPTEMBER, 2022

HARPREET SINGH

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Manan Bhardwaj, Advocate for
Mr. Varinder Basa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harmandeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed for seeking quashing of FIR No. 60 dated 17.06.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 452, 336, 506 and 34 IPC and Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Qadian, District Batala, Gurdaspur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.07.2022 (Annexure P-2).

Short reply dated 07.09.2022 filed by way of an affidavit of Mr. Harkrishan Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of FIR.

Vide order dated 03.08.2022 parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and for report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

The report dated 02.09.2022 has been received from learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala (Duty) stating that the parties have entered into a compromise voluntarily, which is genuine. without any coercion or pressure.

Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, has held:-

“The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in noncompoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice.

The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in achieving peace, harmony and everlasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless

such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.”

The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of 'Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another,(2012) 10 SCC 303'. Furthermore, the broad principles for exercising the powers under Section 482 were summarized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of 'Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others versus State of Gujarat and another” (2017) 9 SCC 641'.

It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time and there appears to be no chances of conviction.

In view of above, the present petition is allowed and the above mentioned FIR (Annexure P-1) with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner in view of compromise (Annexure P-2).

SANDEEP MOUDGIL
JUDGE

7th SEPTEMBER, 2022.
sham

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable : | Yes / No |