

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37025-2021

Date of decision : 27.07.2022

Hakam Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.107 dated 27.07.2021, under Sections 306, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 304-B IPC added vide order dated 02.04.2022), registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.

Vide order dated 28.04.2022 while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that pursuant to order dated 28.04.2022, petitioner has joined the investigation and as such his interim bail may be made absolute.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Paramjeet Singh, affirmed the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, interim order dated 28.04.2022 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

27.07.2022
m.sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No