

[105] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2148 of 2020
Date of Decision : 11.05.2022

Dr. Surender Kumar ...Petitioner

versus

Ajit Balaji Joshi, IAS, Director General Higher
Education Haryana and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 15.01.2020 in CWP No.959 of 2020 in case titled as 'Dr. Neelam and others versus State of Haryana and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.959 of 2020 was disposed of by directing the respondents to allow the petitioners to work till regular appointments were made and not to replace them on the basis of transfer of regular employees but in case some new regular candidates joined, the petitioners could be relieved as per the decision in 'Menka and others versus State of Haryana and others', decided on 05.05.2016. The respondents were also directed to consider the claim of the petitioner *qua* retention as per guidelines dated 13.07.2018 within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

petitioner was disengaged on account of being ineligible, now the petitioner has acquired the eligibility, therefore, has been adjusted and in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.05.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>