

228

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.36968 of 2021 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 06.04.2022**

Sandeep Singh @ Sunny Bhullar @ Sonu Bhullar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present:** Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

Prayer in this 2<sup>nd</sup> petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short 'Cr.P.C.') in FIR No.091 dated 08.05.2019, for offence punishable under Sections 307, 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC') registered at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Batala.

The earlier one was dismissed as withdrawn on 03.08.2021 with liberty to again approach the trial Court.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that as on today, the petitioner is in custody for the last about 01 year and 06 months and out of 23 PWs, only 16 PWs have been examined.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of Sukwinder Singh, the marriage of his brother Jaswinder Singh was solemnized with Rajbir Kaur and they were residing at Batala in a rented accommodation. After sometime, their relation became strained and they started quarreling with each other and his brother came to know that his wife has developed illicit relationship with one Sonu Bhullar i.e. the present petitioner. On 04.05.2019, he got an information that Jaswinder Singh

is admitted in a hospital in an injured condition, having sharp edged injury on the right arm and on his head and waist. It is alleged that the petitioner has caused injuries to Jaswinder Singh. It is further submitted that as per the statement of PW-2 Dr. Arvinder Sharma, Medical Officer, Civil Hospital, Batala, the victim had 03 injuries, out of which 02 injuries were declared simple and 01 injury was grievous in nature.

Counsel for the State on the basis of the Custody Certificate has not disputed the factual position but opposed the prayer for bail.

Without commenting anything on merits of the case, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last about 01 year and 06 months; the petitioner is not involved in any other case; the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and the conclusion of the trial will take some time due to COVID-19 situation, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, subject to the condition that the petitioner will not try to contact the wife of the victim, in any manner and in case, he is found influencing any prosecution witnesses, then, it will be open for the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

06.04.2022

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No