

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-3018-2022(O&M)

Date of decision: 01.08.2022

Pooja Mahajan

..Petitioner

Versus

Sh. K.C. Gupta and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The petitioner herein is a tenant. She challenges the correctness of the order passed by the learned District Judge, Chandigarh, refusing to transfer the eviction petition to some other Presiding Judge. The correctness of the aforesaid order has been assailed in the present revision petition.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the Presiding Judge is in tearing hurry, therefore, the matter should be transferred to another Presiding Judge.

It is evident that the petitioner was proceeded against ex-parte on 04.03.2022 and on her application for setting aside the ex-parte decree, the same has already been allowed while setting aside the ex-parte proceedings. The proceedings are required to be decided in an expeditious manner in order to achieve the ultimate goal of speedy justice. It is not necessary that all the proceedings must be kept pending for years together.

In a case where the Presiding Judge has the time, he is justified in adjourning the cases by granting short adjournments in order to expedite

the conclusion of the trial.

Hence, no ground to interfere with the impugned order is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 01, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>