

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(103)

CWP No. 17034 of 2020

Date of Decision : 05.04.2022

Sanjeev Gupta

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the claim of the petitioner is for regular promotion to the post of Research Assistant i.e. the post on which the petitioner discharged the duties starting from 27.03.2002 till he attained the age of superannuation in June, 2020. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on the date when the petitioner was holding the charge of the post of Research Assistant, the respondents decided to amend the Municipal Corporation Chandigarh Service Regulations, 2012 so as to include a quota in which the petitioner was eligible to be considered for promotion to the post of Research Assistant but as no decision is taken by the respondents on the same, the petitioner retired without getting promotion.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only prayer

of the petitioner is to take appropriate decision with regard to the question

as to whether, the respondents intend to amend the Municipal Corporation Chandigarh Service Regulations, 2012 or not so that the claim of the petitioner could rest at peace. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance, which has been raised in the present petition, has also been raised in legal notice dated 17.06.2020 (Annexure P-7), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the legal notice, respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 17.06.2020 (Annexure P-7), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

April 05, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*

Whether reportable? *Yes*