

[214] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.2124 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 14.03.2022

Shiv Charan Rawal ...Petitioner

versus

R.S. Vundru and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Suryakant Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Learned AAG states that operation of the order, violation of which has been complained of in the instant petition has been stayed vide orders dated 11.02.2022 in LPA No.118 of 2022.

[3] Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, he does not press the contempt petition at this stage and the same may be disposed of as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition in the eventuality of vacation of stay or decision of LPA No.118 of 2022 in favour of the petitioner.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents at this stage, while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the instant petition in the eventuality of order dated 11.02.2022 in LPA No.118 of

the petitioner, whichever is earlier.

14.03.2022
‘Rajneesh’

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned
Whether reportable

:

Yes/No
Yes/No