

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16539 of 2022

Date of decision: 02.08.2022

Brhimdutt Sharma

..... Petitioner.

Versus

Shri Vishwakarma Skill University and others

.... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate, for
Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had applied for the post of Foreman Instructor (Mechanical). The petitioner is fully qualified for the post, but respondent No. 3 has instead been appointed. He also submits that the detailed marks obtained by the petitioner and respondent No. 3 have not been disclosed by the official respondents.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 and 2 submits that the petitioner has secured 36.22 marks while the selected candidate has secured 56.26 marks. The candidate, who is at serial No. 2 but has not been recommended for appointment, has secured 42.38 marks. He has furnished a copy of the result, which is taken on record.

In view of the petitioner having secured lesser marks than the selected candidate, I do not find any merit in this petition.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

02.08.2022

Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No