

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

125

CRM-M-33663-2022

Date of Decision: 08.08.2022

SHUSHILA DEVI

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record certain documents relevant for the adjudication of the matter. The same are taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place and paginate the paper book.

2. After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

2. Permission granted.

3. Consequently, the extant petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

4. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to institute before this Court, a fresh better constituted petition, with better particulars.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

08.08.2022

kavneet singh

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No**