

129.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16572-2022

Date of Decision: 01.08.2022

DALJIT SINGH AND OTHERS

.... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to count the daily wage as Special Police Officer (SPO) service of the petitioners, rendered before their respective regularization as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits, as the same benefit has been granted by this Court in view of judgment passed in CWP No.2371 of 2010, titled as *Harbans Lal Versus State of Punjab and others*, decided on 31.08.2010 (Annexure P-4) to similarly situated persons in CWP No.9936 of 2017 titled as *Sukhjeet Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others*, decided on 15.02.2018 (Annexure P-7) and CWP No.7127 of 2019, titled as *Jarnail Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others*, decided on 01.04.2019 (Annexure P-8). In this regard, a legal notice dated 28.04.2022 (Annexure P-

11) has been given by the petitioners to the respondents, but till date no decision has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Pawan Sharda, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide legal notice dated 28.04.2022 (Annexure P-11) of the petitioners within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioners. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

01.08.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No