

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

243

CWP-11013-2018

DATE OF DECISION: 19.09.2022

CHHOTI RANI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Balraj Singh Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Dr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Padam Kant Dwivedi, Advocate for R-2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought a direction to the respondents to call her for scrutiny of documents. She had applied for the post of Field Inspector Store in HAFED and had been successful in the written examination but she could not appear for the scrutiny of documents as there was problem of an internet connection in her village. She is resident of village Jagsi, Tehsil Gohana. The date of the interview had been refixed due to internet problem as several other candidates could not appear for scrutiny.

This Court by the order dated 08.05.2018 had directed that the petitioner would be provisionally interviewed for the post of Field Inspector and the result would be kept in a sealed cover.

On 13.09.2022, learned counsel for the respondent-State had produced the result of the petitioner in a sealed cover. The sealed cover had been opened and the result indicated that the petitioner has obtained total of 152 marks which include 142 marks out of 200 in the written examination and

10 out of 25 in the *viva voce*. The last selected candidate in the DESM category for which the petitioner had applied has obtained 144 marks.

In response to the query of this Court, learned counsel for the respondent-HAFED submits that the post of a Field Inspector is vacant at present.

Heard.

The petitioner had been successful in the written examination for the post of Field Inspector, Store in HAFED. She could not appear for scrutiny of documents due to disruption in internet. She is residing in a rural area. She had been interviewed in pursuance to an order passed by this Court. The petitioner has obtained 152 marks which are more than 144 marks obtained by the last selected candidate. She is a meritorious candidate and can be accommodated without disturbing any successful candidate as a post of Field Inspector is stated to be vacant.

Consequently, the petition is allowed. Respondent No.1 is directed to recommend the name of the petitioner for appointment to respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 shall accordingly appoint the petitioner. She would be entitled to the notional benefits from the date other candidates have been appointed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

19.09.2022
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No