

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29005-2019
Date of decision 06.09.2022**

MALKIT SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No. 6.

Ms. Deipa Singh, Advocate
for the respondents No. 7, 10 and 11.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (Oral):

Learned State counsel at the outset has referred to the affidavit dated 03.08.2019 and particularly para 6 thereof, which reads as under:-

"That the petitioner had made false, malicious and frivolous allegations against the deponent and present petition deserves to be dismissed in the interest of fairness and justice. This claim by the petitioner that the undersigned is real cousin of respondent no. 7 (Vivek Gupta) is totally mischievous and far away from the truth. It is submitted that there is no direct relationship between the undersigned and either respondent no. 6 or 7. The undersigned has asked her father about these individuals (Respondents no. 6 & OF INDI 7) and he informed that the deponent's father's grandfather was married in Kaithal and various people claim to be connected to our family, it being

influential. The deponent has no personal acquaintance with these persons (Respondent no. 6 & 7) and has only interacted if any, with them officially. The deponent have never called on them socially neither they have visited socially at the deponent's residence."

The said affidavit was filed in pursuance to the order dated 11.07.2019 passed by this Court.

In the light of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

06.09.2022
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>