

[135] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1498 of 2022
Date of Decision :20.09.2022

Yogesh Kumar ...Petitioner

versus

Varinder Singh Kundu, Addl. Chief
Secretary, Employment Department,
Govt. of Haryana, Chandigarh & othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Lalit Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 06.05.2022, in CWP No.9484 of 2022 in case titled as 'Yogesh Kumar versus State of Haryana and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.9484 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the respondents to look into representation dated 07.04.2022 filed by the petitioner and pass an order in respect thereto, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

[3] Learned DAG, Haryana, has placed compliance report on record. Copy thereof is stated to have already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] Learned DAG, Haryana, by referring to Annexure R-3/1 dated 15.09.2022 contends that representation dated 07.04.2022 filed by the petitioner has been rejected vide order (Annexure R-3/1) dated 15.09.2022. The compliance report is taken on record.

speaking order (Annexure R-3/1), the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge order (Annexure R-3/1) dated 15.09.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[6] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.09.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No