

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CRM-M-33357-2022
Date of Decision:01.08.2022

Sohan Lal Verma

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuing directions to respondent No.2 to take strict action against respondent No.3 on the representation dated 01.07.2022 (Annexure P-1).

Notice served upon the official respondents through the State counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (P-1) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Distt. Patiala, to decide the representation (P-1) by passing a speaking and reasoned order within ***thirty working days*** from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, liberty is reserved to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

01.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No