

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.104-3

CR No.2354 of 2020

Date of Decision: 17.11.2022

Balwinder Kaur

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Satyam Prayas and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Ms. Garima Modi, Advocate for
Mr. Rose Gupta, Advocate
for the revisionist-petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the revisionist-petitioner submits that the instant revision petition has become infructuous and the same may be disposed of accordingly.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3-Insurance Company has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the revision petition in hand stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

17.11.2022

neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No