

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

202

CWP-16435-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 29.04.2022

SUNIL KUMAR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

CHAUDHARY DEVI LAL UNIVERSITY AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Parmender Singh Bhukal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the advertisement dated 16.08.2020 whereby another set of contractual employees are being employed. He has also sought a direction to the respondents not to replace him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a part time employee and there is an apprehension that he would be replaced by another set of part time employees.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1, while referring to the reply, submits that it has been decided that in the first instance, part time teachers who were already working in the academic session would be allocated work and thereafter workload would be allocated to fresh part time teachers. He also submits that the petitioners are working as part time teachers and there is no move to replace them with another set of part time employees.

In view of the above, the grievance of the petitioners has been substantially addressed. The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.04.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No