

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

CRM-M-36719-2021

Date of decision : 28.2.2022

Navneet Singh and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: None for the petitioners

Mr.Amit Mehta, Sr.DAG, Punjab

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioners seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.56, dated 29.05.2021, registered under Sections 452, 295, 379, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur.

On 7.9.2021 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioners inter-alia contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; the petitioners are not named in the FIR; none of the petitioners have any criminal antecedents; even if the case of the prosecution is taken as the gospel truth, though vehemently denied, none of the petitioners are attributed any injury on the complainant; in any case the only injury on the complainant has been classified as a simple injury; the allegations of theft are vague and unsubstantiated and that the petitioners are also ready and willing to join investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.J.S.Ghumman, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 1.12.2021.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioners' joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of their arrest in FIR No.56, dated 29.05.2021, registered under Sections 452, 295, 379, 323, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur, they shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioners have joined the investigation; have cooperated with the investigating agency; investigation in this case is complete; and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In the light of the reasons given in the afore quoted order and the statement made by learned counsel for the State, the order of this Court dated 7.9.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

28.2.2022
gsv

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No