

[129] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1565 of 2022
Date of Decision :10.11.2022

Balwinder Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Deepak Lahoria, Senior Superintendent of Police,
Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Manreet Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Chadda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in thepetition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 04.05.2022, in CRWP No.4124 of 2022in case titled as '**Balwinder Singh**versus**State of Punjab and others**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CRWP No.4124 of 2022 was allowed, protection was granted for a limited period of time,while directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur to decide representation dated 24.04.2022, by passing a speaking and reasoned order within 10 working days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned Asstt. AG, Punjab, has filed affidavit of Shri Deepak Hilori, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur/respondent No.1 along with speaking order (Annexure R-1) dated 17.05.2022, as per which, case FIR No.26, dated 10.05.2022 under Sections

has been registered against Surinder Singh, Kamaljit Kaur, Daljit Singh, Pintu @ Pinku and Avtar Singh. The speaking order is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of registration of the aforementioned FIR, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to take out appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law to seek invocation of the relevant provisions of the IPC against the accused.

[4] The same is not opposed to by the learned Asstt. AG, Punjab.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.11.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>