

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

238

**CRM-M-33332-2022**

**Date of Decision: September 22, 2022**

**AKHIL**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

**Present:-** Mr. Anshul Baghla, Advocate for  
Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)**

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action in case FIR No.372 dated 17.09.2021 registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC at Police Station City Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

Notice of motion was issued in this case on 01.08.2022. Pursuant to the same reply has been filed. Learned State counsel refers to para 14 and 16 thereof to submit that there was no evidence that came forward for concluding the involvement of the accused in the present case. The said paras read thus:-

*“14. That thereafter the investigation of the present case was conducted by the deponent along with the present SHO/SI Karambir and the matter was thoroughly investigated from the people present in the investigation and the CCTV footage wherein it was revealed that the deceased were working on the shop of accused Jaipal Sonu and was caught many times committing foul play at the shop by preparation of fraud bills without the consent of owner and on this fact he was*

**CRM-M-33332-2022**

**:2:**

*discharged from his services and thereafter no talks have been found between the deceased and the accused and thereafter the deceased Kirshan committed suicide. That role of the accused was found in the suicide of the deceased Kirshan and no evidence came forward for indulgence of the accused in the present offence.*

*16. That the investigation of the present FIR has already conducted properly and thoroughly by the deponent and the requirement of transfer of the investigation in the above said FIR to higher officials to the Rank of DSP or to transfer the investigation to the crime Branch in not made out.”*

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this case has been rendered infructuous and liberty be granted to him to avail of alternative remedy.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

**September 22, 2022**  
rimpal

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |