

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

CWP-10658 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.08.2022

Shaukat (since deceased) thr. LRS

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate for respondent No.4.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (Oral)

Reply filed on behalf of respondent No.4 is taken on record.

In this writ petition, petitioner challenges order dt. 09.08.2016 passed by respondent No.1 dismissing the revision filed by legal heirs of the petitioner on the ground that there is a delay in filing the revision as well as necessary parties have not been impleaded.

After hearing counsel for the petitioner at length, we are of the opinion that no case has been made out for interfering with the said order passed by respondent No.1 since as partner of the firm, the petitioner is liable in view of Section 25 of the Partnership Act, 1932 for the debts of the firm, and he cannot take plea that he will only pay his share of the liability. Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed.

No costs.

**(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE**

05.08.2022

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No