

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP-16836-2022

Date of decision : 02.08.2022

Suman

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Bhagwat Dayal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Udit Mendiratta, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents not to pressurize her to join at her earlier place of posting.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred from Panipat to Karnal on 01.07.2022 (Annexure P-2) and had joined duty at Karnal on 04.07.2020. Respondent No.5, who has been transferred in her place at Panipat, is yet to take charge and the petitioner apprehends that she might be transferred back to Panipat. She has three minor daughters to look after who are studying in Karnal and therefore, she be permitted to continue at Karnal.

Heard.

This petition has been filed merely on the apprehension of the petitioner which appears to be unfounded at present. Consequently, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed at this stage.

However, if the petitioner is aggrieved of any order passed by the respondents, she would be at liberty to approach this Court.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

August 02, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No